# The Mismatch of Food System Dynamics and Diet: forward to the past or something new?

Tim Lang Centre for Food Policy City, University of London t.lang@city.ac.uk

Lecture to conference "Sustainable Food Systems" The American University of Rome, October 11 2019



# **1. OUR FOOD PROBLEM**

The rich world is eating unsustainably; LDCs catching up Environment: CO<sub>2</sub>e, H<sub>2</sub>O, Biodiversity Health: NCDs, safety, antibiotics Economy: € \$ £, work, market concentration Society: class, culture, values

# Six of top 11 risk factors driving global burden of disease are related to diet



Source: Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators (2015), Figure 5

Note: The graph shows global disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) attributed to level 2 risk factors in 2013 for both sexes combined.

Source: Lancet 2015 summarised in GLOPAN (2016) http://glopan.org/sites/default/files/ForesightReport.pdf

## Global/regional realities compared to 'healthy' diet ideal Source: Berners-Lee et al 2018

Food type	Healthy diet (kcal/p/ day)	Current (2013) global and regional consumption (kcal/p/day)							
		World	Industrial- ised Asia	North America & Oceania	Europe inc. Russia	Latin America	South & South- east Asia	North Africa, West & Central Asia	Sub- Saharan Africa
Fruit and vegetables	255 (minimum)	159 <sup>⊾</sup>	294	129 <sup>b</sup>	142 <sup>b</sup>	112ь	82 <sup>b</sup>	154 <sup>6</sup>	193ª
Sugar and sweeteners	150 (maximum)	189ª	68	383⊧	264 <sup>b</sup>	297⊧	195ª	214 <sup>b</sup>	153ª
Vegetable oils	360 (maximum)	219	179	626 <sup>b</sup>	359	296	116	304	173
Meat, dairy and fish	624 (maximum)	499	624	1059 <sup>ь</sup>	1035⊧	637ª	257	404	170

### The nutrition transition

Source: Baker 2016 in GLOPAN 2016 p51

FIGURE 3.6: Trends in per capita sales volumes of non-alcoholic beverages, processed foods and ultraprocessed foods by country income group, 2000–15, with 15-year average growth rates shown



Source: Baker (2016)



#### b) Global food protein flow International trading losses 2 Invested Distribution Harvest losses Post-harvest 5 Non-food losses losses uses 4 Processing 10 Consumer losses Net excess waste consumption Edible crops fed to Meat, dairy animals and fish 89 38 Animal losses **101** Grass, pastur & stover eater Grown Harvested Post-harvest Allocation Pre-Available for Faten Required processing human and consumption distribution



#### Food chains lose nutrients Berners-Lee et al (2018)





6

# **Global health costs estimated**

Harvard & WEF (2011) <u>www.weforum.org/EconomicsOfNCD</u>

- 2010-30 NCDs estimated to cost US \$30 trillion+
  - = 48% of global GDP in 2010
  - will push millions of people below poverty line
- CVD set to rise 2010-2030 globally by 22%
  costing US\$ 20,032 bn over 2010-30
- Diabetes cost to global economy set to rise from \$500 bn (2010) to \$745 bn (2030)
- Higher impact will be in <u>lower & middle income</u> countries than in high income countries
  - = the effect of the Nutrition Transition
  - But can even rich countries can afford health care?

#### **Food Production if Safe Operating Space for Climate**



# **Food's greenhouse gas effect**

#### Ruminant 80 Other How much impact does food have? Ruminants have highest GHG per KG of product 70-Proportion of total greenhouse gas emissions from food 60 Carbon equivalent footprint (kg CO<sub>2</sub>e per kg product) 50 Pork, poultry and seafood Food Other greenhouse A quarter of global are lower than ruminant meats 40 (beef and sheep) 26% gas emissions 74% emissions come from food 30-20-Fruit, vegetables and grains are generally similar to meat 10substitutes and pulses Animal products Other food More than half of food emissions come from 58% 42% animal products Source: Ripple et al (2014) Nature Climate Half of all farmed Beef & lamb Other animal Change, amended by FCRN animal emissions 50% products 50% come from **beef**

and lamb

# Eating genetic diversity is in decline

- 391,000 known plant species, 5,538 are known to have been used as human food \*
- 3 crop species rice, wheat and maize provide 50% of the world's calories from plants.
- 146 country study found 103 species gave 90% of world's plant food supply\*\*
- Gene pool decline also within individ crops: FAO est c 75% genetic diversity of agric crops lost in C20th \*\*\*
- RAFI survey of 75 US crop species found 97% varieties listed in old USDA catalogues now extinct \*\*\*\*
- Studies in Germany found c 90% historical diversity of crops has been lost & S Italy c 75% crop varieties gone \*\*\*\*\*

SOURCES:

- \*\* Prescott-Allen, R and C Prescott-Allen (1990); How Many Plants Feed the World?, Conservation Biology, 4:4, 365-374
- \*\*\* FAO (1998) Special: Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture, Rome http://www.fao.org/sd/EPdirect/EPre0039.htm
- \*\*\*\* Fowler C, Mooney P(1990); The Threatened Gene Lutworth Press

<sup>\*</sup> Bioversity: <u>https://www.bioversityinternational.org/fileadmin/user\_upload/research/research\_portfolio/Diet\_diversity/Bioversity\_International\_Dietary\_Diversity.pdf</u>

<sup>\*\*\*\*\*</sup>Hammer K, T Gladis & A Diederichsen (2002); In situ and on-farm management of plant genetic resources, Europ. J. Agronomy 19, 509-517

#### Water stress source: WRI 2013

http://www.wri.org/resources/charts-graphs/water-stress-country



# Embedded H<sub>2</sub>O in food (NL)

source: Chapagain & Hoekstra 2004



#### Importation of water to EU in the form of rice (average of EU 27)

source: Chapagain & Hoekstra UNESCO-IHE (2010) pg 29



# **UK Water Importation in fruit & veg**

source: Hess & Sutcliffe, Cranfield University, 2018

- UK imports 13.5 bn kg of fresh fruit & veg p.a.
- = 560 million  $m^3$  of freshwater p.a.
- = 211 kg/capita/year
- 74% of this is from countries with water vulnerabilities
- increased by 36% in 1996 2015

Water Stress in non-EU countries exporting food to the UK source: Elliott & Tipper 2018



## **2. THE SUSTAINABLE DIETS CHALLENGE**

**Sustainable Diets = 'multi-criteria' approach to food** 



# Land use by type, hectares per capita, by region

Source: FAOSTAT in: UNEP GRID Arendal <u>http://www.grida.no/graphicsli</u> <u>b/detail/the-development-</u> <u>potential-available-land-per-</u> <u>capita-in-land-use-class 1068</u>



# Soil loss in the EU

#### source: Panagos et al (2015)

#### **EAT-Lancet Commission 2019**

#### Environmental effects per serving of food produced



Source: The Lancet Commission  $\circledast {\it FT}$ 

#### **Change in Food Production / Land Use**

2050 BAU + full waste 2050 planetary health diet + halve waste



## **Current Intakes vs Planetary Health Diet**



# What if everyone ate according to dietary guidelines?



## Implications for change in production, Flexitarian diet, world / UK



Source: Springmann 2019 for FFCC, based on EAT-Lancet Commission

# 3. WHO IS IN CONTROL?

Weak government – 'hollowed out' states Consumerism Concentrated markets

#### The complete International Agro-Food Trade Network in 1998 Source: Ercsey-Ravasz et al 2012 *PloS ONE* doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037810.g004



Ercsey-Ravasz M, Toroczkai Z, Lakner Z, Baranyi J (2012) Complexity of the International Agro-Food Trade Network and Its Impact on Food Safety. PLoS ONE 7(5): e37810. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037810 http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0037810



24

# 4. WHAT ARE OUR OPTIONS?

Different levers for change Use food system power or change the food system? cultural 'rules' or political economy? Past vs Futurism? Technology or people?

## **Option 1: Appeal to reason ... informed consumers**

- Labelling
  - But there is no sustainable food labelling in EU
  - It took 20 years to achieve QUID labels!
  - How could we label for biodiversity?
- Information assumes rationality of consumer choice
  - Advertising and marketing budgets are huge
    - E.g. Coca-Cola's marketing budget = 2 x WHO's entire budget
  - Choice is framed by money, class, accident of birth...

# Choice as a spectrum, framed by circumstance

source: Lang, Barling & Caraher (2009). Food Policy. Oxford University Press



# **Option 2: appeal to cultural legacy**

- The Mediterranean Diet
- Brazil's dietary guidelines (2014)
  - <u>http://189.28.128.100/dab/docs/portaldab/publicacoes/guia\_alimentar\_populacao\_ingles.pdf</u>
- Michael Pollan:
  - eat only what your grandmother recognises
- BUT...
  - lifestyles have changed
  - rise of ultra-processed foods



National Nutrition Guide for Greek Adults (Greek: Διατροφικοί Οδηγοί Για Ενήλικες) 28

## **Option 3: 'Modernised' Heritage e.g.** New Nordic Diet

- Key principles:
  - Health + gastronomic potential + Nordic identity + sustainability
- Overall guidelines:

(i) more calories from plant foods and fewer from meat;(ii) more foods from the sea and lakes; and(iii) more foods from the wild countryside.

- Lessons so far:
  - Serious about: chefs, identity, seasonality

# **Option 4: Leave it to industry**

- The argument is that only industry has control – Policy gives power to industry .... But is it enough?
- Actions so far
  - Some action on low carbon supply chains
  - New product development e.g. meatless food products
  - Waste reduction e.g. circular economy (food as material)
- Lessons so far:
  - Reluctance to act unless all do
  - Product development sits within consumerism not changing it

# **Option 5: Leave it to markets** (consumer-industry dynamics)

- Popular with politicians business is responsible
  - 'Hollowed out' state
- e.g. veganism as market opportunity

cartoon: Tony Husband, *Private Eye*, 1499, 28 June 2019, page 30

- But...
  - Hype and 'food wash' take over
  - Too slow
  - Downplays multi-criteria problem
  - Ignores state levers: law, tax, etc



# **Option 6: Hi-tech solutions**





- Lab-based meat
- Nanotechnology
- Synthetic biology
- Industrial insects
- Genetic modification
- Robotics
- Nutrigenomics





# **Option 7: Multiple actions at multi-level**

- Soft and hard interventions
- Global to local
- SDG<sup>2</sup> strategy: SDGs for SDGs
- National processes within Global goals
- Set goals for dietary transition
- National Guidelines to reframe production
- Public engagement:
  - Citizens juries & conventions, public events

	Eliminate choice: regulate to eliminate choice entirely.				
	Restrict choice: regulate to restrict the options available to people.				
	Guide choice through disincentives: use financial or other disincentives to guide people to pursue certain activities.				
intervention	Guide choice through incentives: use financial and other incentives to guide people to pursue certain activities.				
er levels of	Guide choice through changing the default: make 'healthier' choices the default option for people.				
Great	Enable choice: enable people to change their behaviours.				
	Provide information: inform and educate people.				
	Do nothing or simply monitor the current situation.				
Nuffield Council on Disathias! Intervention Ladder					

# CONCLUSIONS

'Change or go bust' This is possible but will be hard A multi-level world needs multi-lever, multi-actor, multi-sector, multi-disciplinary coherence We are all part of this transition There is no single solution

# Sustainable Dietary Guidelines at core

- Pressure building up for SDG<sup>2</sup>:
  - EESC 2017-19
  - IPES-Food 2916-19
  - UN Decade of Nutrition Action
  - EAT-Lancet 2019
  - FOLU 2019
- Options for delivering Guidelines:
  - IPCC type body global
  - Change Nutrition Guidelines nation
  - City level action Milan Pact 2015
  - NGOs–e.g. WWF





#### TOWARDS A COMMON FOOD POLICY

## Sustainable diets: the centre of good C 21<sup>st</sup> food system



#### What this means:

- Multi-criteria
- Public engagement
- Ecological public health
- Diversity of evidence
- Multi-sector, multi-level
- Reconnection

Source: Mason & Lang (2017) Sustainable Diets, Routledge chapt 9

# THE LANCET

woww thelancet.co

uary, 2019

Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems



"Food in the Anthropocene represents one of the greatest health and environmental challenges of the 21st century."

PAMELA MASON AND TIM LANG

